

Minutes of 33<sup>rd</sup> Academic Council Meeting held on 30/11/2018

Members present:

Mr. Amit Talukdar – Vice Chancellor (Acting)

Smt. Sikha Sen – Registrar (Acting)

Prof. (Dr.) A. Lakshminath

Prof. Saikat Maitra

Mr. Asoke Deb

Prof. (Dr.) Arup Kumar Poddar

Prof. (Dr.) TVGNS Sudhakar

Prof. (Dr.) Sreenivasulu N.S.

Prof (Dr.) Sandeepa Bhat B.

Prof. (Dr.) Anirban Mazumder

Dr. Anupama Ghosal

Ms. Vaneeta Patnaik

Agenda	Resolution
<b>1. Confirmation of minutes of 32<sup>nd</sup> A.C. meeting</b>	Regarding point no. 2 of 32 <sup>nd</sup> A.C. meeting an enquiry committee was set up by the Academic Council in its 32 <sup>nd</sup> A.C. meeting consisting of Poonam Saxena, Vice Chancellor and Prof. A. Lakshminath Pro Chancellor, CNLU Patna to look into the delay in the declaration of result and fix the responsibility. Since Prof. Poonam Saxena has resigned from the Committee, the Committee became non functional. Prof. A. Lakshminath expressed his inability to continue as member of the Committee. He suggested that Prof. Saikat Maitra, Vice Chancellor of the Maulana Abul Kalam Azad

W  
30/11/18

	<p>University of Technology to be appointed as the one man Enquiry Committee. The Committee will submit its report within two months. Dr. Anupama Ghosal will assist the Committee.</p> <p>Rest of the points of the minutes of the 32<sup>nd</sup> A.C. meeting is confirmed.</p>										
<p><b>2. <u>Petition filed against Distance Education and Online Courses at WBNUJS (Deferred Agenda from 32<sup>nd</sup> Academic Council Meeting)</u></b></p> <p>This has reference to the note put up by Prof. Arup Kumar Poddar, regarding a petition filed by Advocate Pinaki Ranjan Banerjee, of the Calcutta High Court, addressed to the Chancellor dated 09.09.2017 against Distance Education and Online Courses at WBNUJS. The same may be found attached herewith as <b>Annexure 2</b>.</p> <p>Put up for perusal and further directions of the honourable members please.</p>	<p>Prof. (Dr.) Arup Kumar Poddar has raised an objection to the effect that the petition put up by him involved the name of two members of the Academic Council and in all fitness of things <sup>they should have</sup> recused themselves from the meeting before the decision was taken on the concerned agenda. Prof. Sreenivasulu raised another point to the effect both Prof. Poddar and the other members concerned should not be part of the meeting on that particular agenda. Ms. Vaneeta Patnaik has raised the genuinity of the petition filed by Advocate Pinaki Ranjan Banerjee dated 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 as whereas Prof. Bhat and Prof. Mazumder was of the view as reiterated earlier that the same being addressed to the Chancellor the Committee should not delve into the same for the present.</p> <p>After recording the objection of all concerned this matter is further adjourned.</p>										
<p><b>3. <u>Issue relating to appropriate steps to be taken by University on the recommendations of the Review Commission as directed by the Hon'ble Chief Justice of India, Chancellor, WBNUJS ((Deferred Agenda from 32<sup>nd</sup> Academic Council Meeting))</u></b></p> <p><b>Point</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="120 1758 189 1803"><b>Nos.</b></th> <th data-bbox="294 1758 400 1803"><b>Subject</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="120 1814 158 1848">14</td> <td data-bbox="226 1814 733 1848">Strengthen Accountability Mechanisms</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="120 1870 158 1904">15</td> <td data-bbox="226 1870 619 1904">Strengthen Grievance Redress</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="120 1915 158 1948">16</td> <td data-bbox="226 1915 627 2004">Reform and Strengthen Career Advancement Policies</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="120 2016 158 2049">17</td> <td data-bbox="226 2016 733 2049">Make Selection Processes Objective and</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Nos.</b>	<b>Subject</b>	14	Strengthen Accountability Mechanisms	15	Strengthen Grievance Redress	16	Reform and Strengthen Career Advancement Policies	17	Make Selection Processes Objective and	<p>Let a meeting be fixed for dedicating the discussion fully on the Review Commission Report. As suggested by Prof. A. Lakshminath a sub-committee be formed by the Professors who are members of the Academic Council to look into and deliberate in respect of Item No. 14, 16 and 20 of the URC. The Committee will have liberty to interact with other stakeholders of the University as deem fit and proper. They will prepare the report within one month and place it before the next Academic Council meeting.</p>
<b>Nos.</b>	<b>Subject</b>										
14	Strengthen Accountability Mechanisms										
15	Strengthen Grievance Redress										
16	Reform and Strengthen Career Advancement Policies										
17	Make Selection Processes Objective and										

AK  
9/10/19

